

FY 2018

CoC Program Competition

Work Group Update

PATA COMMITTEE DRAFT

FEBRUARY 15, 2018

Priorities Decision Tree: Implications

- ❖ 2017 CoC Program Competition Funding
 - ❖ What is funded?
 - ❖ Maintained ARD and received FMR adjustment
- ❖ 2017 Youth Demonstration Project Funding
 - ❖ Are we in or out?
- ❖ IHA Project Based Vouchers
 - ❖ When and how many PBV?
- ❖ Services for IHA Vouchers
 - ❖ When and what will be available?
- ❖ ESG Supplemental Grant
 - ❖ How many families and how fast?
- ❖ 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA
 - ❖ Anything new?

HUD Priorities and Funding Sources

- ❖ Veterans

- ❖ VASH, SSVF, GPD

- ❖ Chronically Homeless

- ❖ CoC Program
- ❖ IHA – HCV and PBV
- ❖ Private Bonds

- ❖ Families

- ❖ CoC Program
- ❖ ESG Supplemental Grant

- ❖ Youth

- ❖ Adult & Child Youth Project
- ❖ 2018 Indiana Supportive Housing Institute
- ❖ Youth Demonstration Project

- ❖ Individuals

Recommendation: Consolidation Policy

- ❖ Agencies must provide notification of interest to consolidate grants to PATA 30 days prior to submission to Collaborative Applicant: the City of Indianapolis.
- ❖ In the notice of interest, agency must articulate the benefit and risk to the:
 - ❖ Client, agency, city and community
- ❖ PATA is to establish specific guidelines for grant consolidation
 - ❖ Performance: Average or above performance – in the top half of all grants
 - ❖ Intra/Internal consolidation: all must be in top half
 - ❖ Inter/between agency consolidation: can be either
 - ❖ Impact of grant consolidation on NOFA and Tier II
 - ❖ No grant larger than Tier II amount (Issue: Tier II is variable)
 - ❖ How will this impact grant performance?
 - ❖ How will agency track performance?

Recommendation: Expansion Policy

- ❖ Grant Performance: Average or above
- ❖ Length of time in operation: One full year of operation
- ❖ If not in operation for one full year, agency experience with the same project type grant can be used to demonstrate average or above performance
- ❖ No expansion grant – after expansion - larger than Tier II amount
- ❖ Does the expansion project fall into our priorities?
 - ❖ What about TH-RRH?

Recommendation: Drawdown Rate

- ❖ The community goal is to spend 90% or more of each grant. (see next slide)
- ❖ If less than 85% of the grant is spent, all of the unused funds below 85% will be involuntarily reallocated by the PATA Committee.
- ❖ Drawdown rates between 85% and 90% of the grant will not be involuntarily reallocated in the first year. The grantee will have one year to achieve the 90% goal. If the 90% goal is not met, all of the unused funds below 90% will be involuntarily reallocated.
- ❖ The contract year will be used as the time period for this policy
- ❖ Grantee may appeal the decision of the PATA committee to the Appeals Committee

Recommendation: Drawdown Rate by Size and Type

- ❖ The community goal is to spend 90% or more of each grant. Raise?
- ❖ Should the goal be different by size and type?
 - ❖ PSH project based 92%
 - ❖ PIH and Julian Center 34 North
 - ❖ Use Size instead of project type?
 - ❖ PSH scattered site 90%
 - ❖ RRH scattered site 90%

Drawdown Issues: Preliminary

❖ HUD Recaptures Total	\$578,776	
❖ Closed Projects HUD Recapture	\$140,247	
❖ Ongoing Projects HUD Recapture	\$412,324	
❖ Only 1 project in the 85% to 90%	89.2%	
❖ Midtown Sherman		
❖ Only 2 projects below 85%		
❖ Salvation Army	\$9,185	78.9%
❖ Adult & Child PH I	\$18,561	76.2%
❖ Need to finish contracts		

Recommendation: Ratings and Rankings

- ❖ Exploring Cost Effectiveness measure
- ❖ Consider Client satisfaction survey --- no
- ❖ Consider adding additional System Performance Measures
 - ❖ Length of time homeless
 - ❖ For PSH and RRH: from match to housed --- yes