

# CHARTER REVISION WORKGROUP | JUNE 30, 2016

## MEETING AGENDA

8:00 A.M. – 10:00 A.M.

Room 135, CHIP, 1100 W. 42<sup>nd</sup> Street, Indianapolis, IN 46208

### I. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS – LESLIE KELLY (8:04 A.M.)

- A. In attendance: Zachary Alexander (notes), Rebekah Bricker, Jennie Fults, Rev. David Greene, Leslie Kelly, Tahirah McCall, Alan Witchey, Brad York

### II. COMMITTEE FEEDBACK DISCUSSION – MEMBERS

- A. The Charter Revision Workgroup focused on organizing the CoC Roles and Responsibilities and grouping similar roles and responsibilities in a column
- B. The Workgroup recognized the importance and separation of the NOFA / CoC Competition grant as its own committee and that all components of the application (monitoring HUD-funded programs, creating and implementing a ranking and review process, submitting recommendations to the Collaborative Applicant)
- C. The Workgroup also took all of the investment responsibilities of the Planning and Investment Committee and created a separate Investment/Development column, recognizing a need for the two to be separate committees
- D. The Workgroup discussed that Housing and Services ultimately belong together in the same column; however, it due to the capacity and scope of work, it may be necessary for the two committees to separate and reunite at a later point in time
- E. The Workgroup wants the current Community Education & Public Policy Committee to focus more on creating and fostering relationships with the community, including faith-based organizations, the Balance of State, and landlords; this will also help inform recruitment of new members to the CoC
- F. The Workgroup discussed whether or not the Community Education & Public Policy Committee should remain the lead for finding and advocating for/against proposed policies and legislation of if this responsibility should belong to CHIP
- G. The Workgroup discussed how to make the scope of work more manageable for Planning and Investment
  - 1. As aforementioned, the investment responsibilities have been separated into a distinct committee at this point
  - 2. Discussion of how the work of the current Services Taskforce (services gaps analyses of the nine Indianapolis townships) might actually be a part of the Planning work plan; the current Services Taskforce members that are a part of the survey process might merge with the Planning Committee
- H. Zach took the originally distributed “CoC Roles & Responsibilities” sheet and reorganized them into the columns that the Workgroup created; this sheet can found as a supporting document

# CHARTER REVISION WORKGROUP | JUNE 30, 2016

## III. OTHER BUSINESS – MEMBERS

- A. The Workgroup agreed that it would be smart to present the CoC committee structure and the Blueprint Council composition independently from the rest of the Charter due to the fact that it might garner a high level of debate
- B. Zach will send out a Doodle to the Workgroup members so that they can meet to create an approved committee structure and delineation of roles and responsibilities
  - 1. This meeting will occur during the week of 7/11 or 7/18 and last for at least two hours

## IV. ADJOURNMENT – 9:52 A.M.