

# **2016 Indianapolis Continuum of Care**

## **Scoring Criteria for Prioritizing Projects**

### **Program Application and Technical Assistance Committee**

This document and other materials of interest may be found at [www.indycoc.org](http://www.indycoc.org)

#### **What's New?**

In 2016 the Indianapolis CoC has made three changes to the ranking and review process from previous years to better align us with HUD priorities and to address specific local issues. **First**, the Scoring tool has been expanded to include all of the seven measures from last year and four additional measures:

#### **Scoring Tool for 2016 includes:**

1. Housing Measure
  - a. Housing Stability - Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)
  - b. Exit to Permanent Housing - Transitional Housing (TH)
2. Non-Employment Income Measure
3. Employment Measure
4. Draw-Down Rate
5. Mainstream Benefits (TANF, Medicaid, Medicare, etc.)
6. Priority Populations Served
  - a. Chronic, Families, Veterans, Youth
  - b. Hard to Serve: SMI, substance abuse, alcohol, DV, Chronic health conditions
7. Housing First
8. HMIS Data Quality and Quantity
9. Program/Bed Utilization
10. Leverage

The Chronic and Family Homelessness measure has been combined and now includes more priority populations and hard to serve populations. This will allow more projects to score points in this measure. The four new measures are Housing First, HMIS Data Quality and Quantity, Program/Bed Utilization and Leverage. The addition of the four new measures is consistent with HUD's strategies and priorities. It allows the Indianapolis CoC to reward projects that focus on Housing First, improve our HMIS accuracy (which is critical to our quarterly monitoring process), increase our utilization rate and increase our leverage. The total points available for the 10 measures are 100 points, which is a change from previous years.

**Second**, the Community Review process has been replaced with a quarterly review process that will be organized and managed by the Planning and Investment Committee. This monitoring process is the responsibility of the Planning and Investment Committee as is stated in our CoC Governance Charter. The above Scoring Tool will be completed quarterly, published on the Indianapolis CoC website and reviewed by the grantees and the Planning and Investment Committee. This quarterly review is intended to focus on improving individual projects and thus improving the overall performance of the CoC. We expect all grantees to be active participants in the quarterly review monitoring to improve performance and share learning points.

**Third**, the Indianapolis CoC will follow the ranking order described below for all projects for the HUD CoC Award competition. This ranking order reflects HUD's priorities and is intended to more closely align Indianapolis with HUD in order to achieve a higher score and thus more funding.

### **Indianapolis Ranking Order**

Projects are grouped according to program type (PSH, RRH, HMIS and TH) and are ranked according to their last full operating year once the NOFA has dropped. Regarding the HUD NOFA, the Indianapolis CoC has committed to align with HUD priorities to rank permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects higher than transitional housing renewal projects, with the exception of low-scoring permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing renewal projects. Because the primary basis for scoring renewal proposals is performance data from the APRs and other reports, first time renewal projects which have not been operating long enough to have a year of performance data can't be scored, these projects are ranked below the renewal PSH and RRH projects, but above transitional renewal projects.

**Project Ranking Order:**

1. Renewal permanent supportive housing (PSH) projects scoring between 100-80 points (high performance)\*
2. First Time Renewal PSH and RRH projects not operating long enough to have a year of performance data
3. Renewal HMIS (bottom of Tier I)
4. New supportive services only (SSO) project for common assessment created thru reallocation
5. New permanent supportive housing (PSH) projects created thru reallocation
6. New rapid re-housing (RRH) project created thru reallocation
7. New HMIS created thru reallocation
8. New PSH created thru bonus money
9. New RRH created thru bonus money
10. Renewal transitional housing (TH) targeting HUD's three priority populations – domestic violence, substance abuse and youth
11. PSH renewal – scoring 79 or below: low performing\*
12. RRH renewal – scoring 79 or below: low performing\*
13. TH renewal – scoring 79 or below: low performing\*

\*High performance and low performance points will be determined once the scoring tool has been tested

### Important Dates to Know

**May 5, 2016:** Letters of intent will be circulated. This is an abbreviated version given that we have outstanding questions that need to be answered by HUD. Please review and contact the Program Application and Technical Assistance Committee (PATA) of the CoC if you have questions.

**May 12, 2016:** Letters of intent are due at 5:00pm: email to [info@indycoc.org](mailto:info@indycoc.org). These will come in via the CoC website to the Program Application and Technical Assistance Committee of the CoC.

**May 19, 2016:** Letters of intent reviewed by the Program Application and Technical Assistance Committee of the CoC.

- 9:00 – 11:00 am at CHIP.
- All renewals will be notified by end of day of eligibility to proceed with grant renewal

**June 2, 2016:** New Project Application forms will be circulated to all members of the CoC and made available on line on the Indy CoC website.

**June 23, 2016:** New Project Applications are due at 5:00pm: email to [info@indycoc.org](mailto:info@indycoc.org). These will come in via the CoC website to the Program Application and Technical Assistance Committee of the CoC.

**June 30, 2016:** New Project Applications reviewed by the Program Application and Technical Assistance Committee of the CoC.

- 9:00 – 11:00 am at CHIP.
- All new projects will be notified by end of day of eligibility to proceed with grant application

**July and August, 2016:** Ranking and Review Monitoring will begin conducted by the Planning and Investment Committee. These monitoring sessions have two purposes: 1) To correct any errors or omissions in data to insure data quality. 2) To serve as peer learning opportunities to improve each project's performance. 3) To insure that all grantees have time to review and improve rankings prior to the NOFA and the finalization of the rankings, which will occur once the NOFA has been announced. Dates, times and locations to be announced.

### **New Project Funding Process:**

To apply for consideration for a New Funding project, a project must meet the threshold criteria listed below. If the New Project believes that all thresholds are met, an application must be completed and submitted by **June 23, 2016** (see above) to the Program Application and Technical Assistance Committee of the CoC (via email to [info@indycoc.org](mailto:info@indycoc.org)). The application is attached to this document. The New Projects funding process consists of completing the New Project Application and review by the PATA committee. Any required attachments must be included with the application for the Project to be considered.

### **Threshold Criteria for New Projects:**

1. Applicant is a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit or a local unit of government.
2. Programs must meet all HUD eligibility activities, provide at least the minimum HUD-required cash, in-kind match, and leveraging requirements, and be ready to proceed with HUD requirements.
3. Applicant must have the organizational capacity to implement a new project in alignment with all HUD standards and cannot have a history of findings or unresolved issues with HUD, the State or the City that raise concerns about agency performance.
4. Project must be consistent with the Blueprint 2.0 Plan.
5. Applicant must agree to use and meet the quality and completeness standards of the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) of the Indianapolis CoC.
6. Applicant's projects are required to participate in Coordinated entry and assessment when it is available for the project type.
7. Eligible new project types:
  - Permanent supportive housing serving chronically homeless individuals and families.
  - Rapid rehousing, serving individuals, families or unaccompanied youth who come directly from the streets, shelters, or are fleeing domestic violence.

The Program Application & Technical Assistance (PATA) Committee will review any New Projects applications and determine if all threshold requirements have been met. Any projects which do not meet the criteria above are not eligible for consideration and will be notified by the PATA Committee Chair.

### **Renewal Project Funding Process:**

To apply for renewal consideration, a CoC funded project must submit a letter of intent to apply by **May 12, 2016** (see above) to the Program Application and Technical Assistance Committee of CoC via email to [info@indycoc.org](mailto:info@indycoc.org). This standardized form can be accessed on the [indycoc.org](http://indycoc.org) website. The renewal project funding process consists of two separate activities --- the scoring tool and participation in the Quarterly review process for monitoring and improvement. Any required attachments must be included for the Project to be considered.

**Threshold Criteria and Process for Renewal Projects:**

1. Applicant is a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit or a local unit of government.
2. Programs must meet all HUD eligibility activities, provide at least the minimum HUD-required cash, in-kind, and leveraging match requirements, and be ready to proceed with HUD requirements
3. Applicant must have the organizational capacity to implement a project in alignment with all HUD standards and cannot have a history of findings or unresolved issues with HUD or the State, the City that raise concerns about agency performance.
4. Project must have strategic alignment with Indianapolis Continuum of Care and other goals pursuant to the NOFA.

The Program Application & Technical Assistance (PATA) Committee will review any renewal applications and determine if all threshold requirements have been met. Any projects which do not meet the criteria above are not eligible for consideration and will be notified by the PATA Committee Chair.

The scoring tool will be completed by the designated HMIS Lead entity, CHIP, using data from the most recent APRs and other reports submitted to the Grant Manager, as a condition of funding, for all renewal applicants. All scoring elements will be rounded to the closest whole number. After the scores have been completed, the PATA Committee will review the scoring for accuracy and place the projects in rank order according to their score and the CoC ranking and review policy. Those rankings will be submitted to the Blueprint Council for final approval.

Final rankings for the scoring tool will be posted on the CoC website and applicants notified by the Program Application and Technical Assistance Committee.

If an Applicant feels that an error was made in their ranking, they must submit an appeal to the Chair of the Program Application and Technical Assistance Committee within 10 days of the posted rankings describing in detail the perceived error.

It is likely that some projects will have the same total score. If there are ties, the priority order will be determined by the PATA Committee.

**ALL COMMUNITY MEMBERS NEED TO UNDERSTAND THAT THE RANKINGS ARE A FLUID PROCESS AND THERE MAY BE CHANGES BASED ON THE NEW NOFA AND PRIORITIES OUTLINED THEREIN – IT MAY CHANGE MORE THAN ONCE WITH FURTHER READING AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE NOFA FROM THE TIME THE NOFA DROPS TO THE SUBMISSION. ALL CHANGES WILL BE POSTED ON THE INDIANAPOLIS COC WEBSITE.**

**APR AND OTHER REPORTS – RENEWAL ONLY**

**Permanent Housing: Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)/Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) Project Based and Scattered Site Rental Assistance**

NOTE: 1-year averages will be used for scoring.

<b>Scoring Element</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<p><b>Housing Results</b></p> <p>95-100% = 20 points</p> <p>90-94.9% = 17 points</p> <p>85-89.9% = 14 points</p> <p>80-84.9% = 11 points</p> <p>75-79.9% = 8 points</p> <p>70-74.9% = 5 points</p> <p>≤69.9% = 0 points</p>	20	<p>The % of persons who remained in the permanent housing program as reported on last full operating year from the APR AND OTHER REPORTS submitted to the Grant Manager.</p> <p>HUD Standard = 80%</p>
<p><b>Non-Employment Income</b></p> <p>55-100% = 10 points</p> <p>45-54.9% = 8 points</p> <p>35-44.9% = 6 points</p> <p>25-34.9% = 4 points</p> <p>15-24.9% = 2 points</p> <p>≤14.9% = 0 points</p>	10	<p>The % of persons age 18 or older who maintained or increased their non Employment income as reported on previous APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.</p> <p>HUD Standard = 54%</p>
<p><b>Employment</b></p> <p>30-100% = 8 points</p> <p>20-29.9% = 6 points</p> <p>10-19.9% = 4 points</p> <p>5-9.9% = 2 points</p> <p>≤4.9% = 0 points</p>	8	<p>The % of persons age 18 through 61 who maintained or increased their earned income as reported on previous APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.</p> <p>HUD Standard = 20%</p>
<p><b>Mainstream Benefits</b></p> <p>100% = 8 points</p> <p>95-99.9% = 6 points</p> <p>90-94.9% = 4 points</p> <p>85-89.9 = 2 points</p> <p>≤84.9% = 0 points</p>	8	<p>The % of households receiving benefits as reported on previous APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.</p> <p>HUD Standard =</p>

Scoring Element	Points	Comment
<b>Draw Down Rate</b>  97-100% = 10 points 91-96.9% = 8 points 85-90.9% = 6 points 80-84.9% = 4 points 75-79.9% = 2 points ≤74.9% = 0 points	10	Percent of draw down against project budget reported on previous APR AND OTHER REPORTS's submitted to Grant Manager.  HUD Standard =
<b>Priority Populations</b>  4 = 4 points 3 = 3 points 2 = 2 points 1 = 1 points	4	Number of priority populations served with 50% or more of the households in a priority population ( Youth 18-24, Chronic Homelessness, Families and Veterans). From APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.
<b>Harder to Serve</b>  4 = 4 points 3 = 3 points 2 = 2 points 1 = 1 points	4	Number of hard to serve populations served with 50% or more of the households in a hard to serve population: severe mental illness, substance abuse, chronic health condition and domestic violence From APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.
<b>Housing First</b>  11 = 8 points 10 = 6 points 9 = 5 points 8 = 4 points 7 = 3 points 6 = 2 points 5 = 1 point 4 or less = 0 points	8	The number of questions out of 11 Answered yes that affirms Housing First Principles. See questions below Submitted to the Grant Manager.  HUD Standard =
<b>HMIS Data Quality &amp; Quantity</b>  100% = 15 points 95-99.9% = points 90-94.9% = points 85-89.9 = points ≤84.9% = 0 points	15	<b>Need definitions of scoring</b>  HUD Standard =

Scoring Element	Points	Comment
<p><b>Program/Bed Utilization</b></p> <p>100% = 8 points</p> <p>95-99.9% = 6 points</p> <p>90-94.9% = 3 points</p> <p>85-89.9% = 2 points</p> <p>84.9% = 0 points</p>	8	<p>Percent of clients in the project each quarter Compared to project capacity. Average for The year as reported on the APR AND OTHER REPORTS.</p> <p>HUD Standard =</p>
<p><b>Leverage</b></p> <p>&gt;200% = 5 points</p> <p>150-199.9% = 4 points</p> <p>100-149.9% = 3 points</p> <p>50-99.9 = 2 points</p> <p>&lt; 49.9% = 0 points</p>	5	<p>Leverage provided during the 2016 CoC Award competition as reported on project Application.</p> <p>HUD Standard = 150%</p>

<b>Transitional Housing</b>
-----------------------------

**APR AND OTHER REPORTS– RENEWALS ONLY**

NOTE: Last full operating year will be used for scoring.

<b>Scoring Element</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<p><b>Housing Results</b></p> <p>95-100% = 20 points            90-94.9% = 17 points            85-89.9% = 14 points            75-84.9% = 11 points            65-74.9% = 8 points            55-64.9% = 5 points            ≤54.9% = 0 points</p>	20	<p>The % of persons who exited to permanent housing program (subsidized or unsubsidized) as reported on previous APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.</p> <p>HUD Standard = 80%</p>
<p><b>Non-Employment Income</b></p> <p>55-100% = 10 points            45-54.9% = 8 points            35-44.9% = 6 points            25-34.9% = 4 points            15-24.9% = 2 points            ≤14.9% = 0 points</p>	10	<p>The % of persons age 18 or older who maintained or increased their non Employment income as reported on previous APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.</p> <p>HUD Standard = 54%</p>
<p><b>Employment</b></p> <p>30-100% = 8 points            20-29.9% = 6 points            10-19.9% = 4 points            5-9.9% = 2 points            ≤4.9% = 0 points</p>	8	<p>The % of persons age 18 through 61 who maintained or increased their earned income as reported on previous APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.</p> <p>HUD Standard = 20%</p>
<p><b>Mainstream Benefits</b></p> <p>100% = 8 points            95-99.9% = 6 points            90-94.9% = 4 points            85-89.9 = 2 points            ≤84.9% = 0 points</p>	8	<p>The % of households receiving benefits as reported on previous APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.</p> <p>HUD Standard =</p>

Scoring Element	Points	Comment
<b>Draw Down Rate</b> 97-100% = 10 points 91-96.9% = 8 points 85-90.9% = 6 points 80-84.9% = 4 points 75-79.9% = 2 points ≤74.9% = 0 points	10	Percent of draw down against project budget reported on previous APR AND OTHER REPORTS's submitted to Grant Manager.  HUD Standard =
<b>Priority Populations</b> <b>4 = 4 points</b> <b>3 = 3 points</b> <b>2 = 2 points</b> <b>1 = 1 points</b>	4	Number of priority populations served with 50% or more of the households in a priority population ( Youth 18-24, Chronic Homelessness, Families and Veterans). From APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.
<b>Harder to Serve</b> 4 = 4 points 3 = 3 points 2 = 2 points 1 = 1 points	4	Number of hard to serve populations served with 50% or more of the clients in a hard to serve population: severe mental illness, substance abuse, chronic health condition and domestic violence From APR AND OTHER REPORTSs submitted to Grant Manager.
<b>Housing First</b> 16 = 8 points 15 = 7 points 13-14 = 6 points 12-13 = 5 points 10-11 = 4 points 9-10 = 3 points 7-8 = 2 point 5-6 = 1 point 4 or less = 0 points	8	The number of questions out of 11 Answered yes that affirms Housing First Principles. See questions below Submitted to the Grant Manager.  HUD Standard =
<b>HMIS Data Quality &amp; Quantity</b> 100% = 15 points 95-99.9% = points 90-94.9% = points 85-89.9 = points ≤84.9% = 0 points	15	<b>Need definitions of scoring</b>  HUD Standard =

Scoring Element	Points	Comment
<p><b>Program/Bed Utilization</b></p> <p>100% = 8 points</p> <p>95-99.9% = 6 points</p> <p>90-94.9% = 3 points</p> <p>85-89.9% = 2 points</p> <p>84.9% = 0 points</p>	8	<p>Percent of clients in the project each quarter Compared to project capacity. Average for The year as reported on the APR AND OTHER REPORTS.</p> <p>HUD Standard =</p>
<p><b>Leverage</b></p> <p>&gt;200% = 5 points</p> <p>150-199.9% = 4 points</p> <p>100-149.9% = 3 points</p> <p>50-99.9 = 2 points</p> <p>&lt; 49.9% = 0 points</p>	5	<p>Leverage provided during the 2016 CoC Award competition as reported on project Application.</p> <p>HUD Standard = 150%</p>

## 2016 Indianapolis Continuum of Care New Project/Transfer

Applicant's/Organization's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_  
*(Organizations must submit a separate application for EACH project it wishes to be considered for funding.)*

Amount of Funds Requested: \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant Projects (check all that apply):

- Permanent Supportive Housing
- Rapid Rehousing
- Transitional Housing
- Support Services Only

DUNS Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: (mailing and office, if different)

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

If a nonprofit organization, list your Tax Identification Number/Employer Identification Number: \_\_\_\_\_  
If a branch of government, list your agency name: \_\_\_\_\_

Board President: _____	Phone: _____
Executive Director/CEO: _____	Phone: _____
Contact Person: _____	Phone: _____
Contact Title: _____	Fax: _____
Contact E-mail: _____	

**Project Information**

1. Provide a brief description of your proposed Project. (Limit 250 words)

2. How many people will you serve through this proposed Project? Please indicate number of families and singles. (box)

Singles	Families

3a. Please describe the sub-populations (people) you aim to serve in your project? (homeless, disabled, veterans, ex-offenders, senior citizens, etc.)?

	% Homeless		% Veterans		% Ex-Offenders
	% Chronic Homeless		% Disabled		% Other

**Score, Rank, and Overall Evaluation / Comments:**

This review tool is divided into 5 sections, each with its own point value. Please read through all questions thoroughly and answer as completely as possible.

- 1. \_\_\_\_\_ Housing component: appropriateness and effectiveness (25 points maximum)
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_ Services component: appropriateness and effectiveness (20 points maximum)
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_ Experience of the applicant agency and other involved agencies (25 points maximum)
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_ Budget, financial resources, and leveraging (20 points maximum)
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_ Outcomes Measures and Targets (10 points maximum)
- \_\_\_\_\_ **Total (100 points maximum)**

**1. Housing Component:**

[Maximum Score: 25 points                      PATA Score: \_\_\_\_\_]

a. Does your organization have experience providing housing to the target population?

- \_\_\_\_\_ 5 or more years
- \_\_\_\_\_ 1 to 4 years
- \_\_\_\_\_ Less than 1 year

b. Does your organization have experience providing housing to the other populations?

- \_\_\_\_\_ 5 or more years
- \_\_\_\_\_ 1 to 4 years
- \_\_\_\_\_ Less than 1 year
- If so, please describe the population your organization provided housing for.

---

---

---

c. What type of housing are you providing to the target population:

- Scattered site
- Single site

d. Please describe the condition of the housing you are proposing for the participants.

- \_\_\_\_\_ Better than the surrounding housing
- \_\_\_\_\_ About the same as the surrounding housing
- \_\_\_\_\_ Worse than the surrounding housing

e. Do you have a housing specialist on staff?

- \_\_\_\_\_ Yes
- \_\_\_\_\_ No
- If so, please describe their experience in finding housing and how long they have they have been working finding housing.

---

---

---

**2. Services Component:**

[Maximum Score: 20 points                      PATA Score: \_\_\_\_\_]

a. Please describe your staffing model.

---

---

---

b. How many FTE's will be assigned to this project?

---

---

c. What is the educational background of the FTE's assigned to this project?

---

---

d. How many clients will each FTE case manager have?

- \_\_\_\_ 15 - 18 to 1
- \_\_\_\_ 19 - 22 to 1
- \_\_\_\_ 23 - 30 to 1
- \_\_\_\_ More than 30 to 1

e. What is your staff development and training plan?

---

---

---

f. How do you plan to assist clients in increasing their income and maximizing their ability to live independently?

---

---

---

**3. Experience of the Applicant Agency and Other Involved Agencies:**

[Maximum Score: 25 points                      PATA Score: \_\_\_\_\_]

a. Does your organization have experience providing services and case management to the target population?

- \_\_\_\_ 5 or more years of organizational experience
- \_\_\_\_ 1 to 4 years of organizational experience
- \_\_\_\_ Less than 1 year of organizational experience

b. How does this application for this project fit with your organization's strategic plan?

---

---

---

- c. Is serving and providing housing to the homeless the focus of your organization? If not, what is the main focus of your organization?

---

---

---

- d. What percentage of income does this project represent for your organization?

- 75 to 100%  
 50 to 74%  
 25 to 49 %  
 10 to 24 %  
 Less than 9%

- e. How well does the proposed program “fit” with the past / current experience of the applicant organization

- A wholly new endeavor  
 An increase in capacity to serve a population already being served in this way  
 An additional “next step” project to serve a population already being served but in a new way?

- f. Please describe your organization’s experience in managing rental subsidies and demonstrated ability to work with landlords?

---

---

---

- g. Does your organization have experience managing, accounting and documenting Federal programs

- 5 or more years  
 3 to 4 years  
 2 years  
 1 year or less

**4. Budget, Financial Resources, and Leveraging: Section E**

[Maximum Score: 20 points                      PATA Score: \_\_\_\_\_]

- a. Does your organization have the financial strength to operate in a Federal Program that has no upfront payment only draw down payments?

- Yes  
 No

- b. Have you secured the required 25% match, cash and/or in-kind?

---

---

---

c. Have you secured the 150% leverage asked for by HUD?

---

---

---

d. How many days of cash reserves does your organization have on hand?

\_\_\_\_\_ More than 180 days

\_\_\_\_\_ 179 to 150

\_\_\_\_\_ 149 to 120

\_\_\_\_\_ 119 to 90

\_\_\_\_\_ Less than 89 days

e. How many FTE's does your organization have in its finance/accounting department?

\_\_\_\_\_ 4 or more

\_\_\_\_\_ 3

\_\_\_\_\_ 2

\_\_\_\_\_ 1

**5. Performance Outcomes:**

[Maximum Score: 10 points

PATA Score:\_\_\_\_\_]

a. Is your organization familiar with HUD's performance goals for this type of project?

\_\_\_ Yes

\_\_\_ No

b. How does your organization plan to meet or exceed the HUD performance goals?

---

---

---

c. If your organization is not meeting the HUD or Indianapolis CoC performance goals, what is your plan to address the gap in performance?

---

---

---

## Funding Information

- A. Amount of funding requested: \$ \_\_\_\_\_
  
- B. Total project cost: \$ \_\_\_\_\_
  
- C. Percent of total project amount requested: \_\_\_\_\_%



Documentation of 150% Leverage and Match:

<b>Categories</b>	<b>City Request</b>	<b># of Units</b>	<b>Org. MATCH</b>	<b>Other Funding (as leverage)</b>	<b>Total = A + B + C</b>
Homeless Prevention					\$-
Rapid Re-housing					\$-
Eligible Housing Case Management					\$-
Other					\$-
Other					\$-
Other					\$-
Other					\$-
Other					\$-
<b>Total</b>	\$-		\$-	\$-	\$-

**Please include a copy of your current organizational budget, latest IRS form 990 and Profit and Loss statement as a part of this application.**