

Research, Planning and Evaluation Committee
December 19, 2013 Meeting Minutes
CHIP Boardroom
11:30-1:00

- Welcome and Introductions
- Update on Blueprint Elections and CoC Process
 - Blueprint Elections
 - Committee process
 - The remaining four committees will meet week of January 6th
 - Direct to indycoc.org
 - Council listed on site.
- Discuss and approve HMIS policies
 - Eric discussed the HMIS policies
 - Security-keep computer physically secure,keep data secure
 - Servers are in St. Louis---need to develop a plan to deal with servers down, that's in the plan
 - Universal data
 - Needs to be approved to be in compliance
 - There will be no changes in practice with HMIS users-just pulled all info together
 - Mary Jones-motion; Ken second;
 - Motion is passed.
- Review of Blueprint 2.0 and implementation work to be addressed by Research, Planning and Evaluation Committee
- Discussion of common definition work
 - **Recidivism**---# of households that maintain housing---track total number of
 - A program's success in ending homelessness is measured by the number of households who attain housing and do not re-enter return to homelessness subsequent to a successful housing outcome.
 - Programs should track the total number of households that exited the program with successful housing outcome (as defined by the program) and stayed housed for ____of exiting the program.
 - Abt associates is doing a program on tracking recidivism.
 - Need to be realistic about what we collect (CPS tracks if they don't show up for 1 year)
 - 1 year? Is this considered a successful intervention
 - May need to consider where/how they are housed (HPRP---pays for 1 year)
 - Successfully housed for a year (period)—this takes into account the PSH.
 - Success of programming—this is a different matrix from housing
 - Date of housing and then they stay for a year
 - **At-risk of homelessness (from HUD)-**
 - Should we look at 30% of AMI---at-risk is 50% (group agrees)

- We can keep it at 30% for HUD measurement/but we can keep it 50% for our tracking (do both). Strong recommendation of 50% AMI. Consider possibly capturing some of the affordable housing units that have 60% AMI. Consider using that as a gauge. (there is already a data point at 60%)
 - Discussion of overcrowding and HUD's definition. Emphasize number of rooms in total house not bedrooms
 - Emergency, Transitional Housing and Prevention for further discussion
 - Are there others?
 - Look at category 2 consider foster care as risk. "Unaccompanied youth"
- Discussion of gaps analysis
 - PIT data compared to info in system. Also need anecdotal evidence of gaps.
 - Data warehouse project started in July/August. Attempt to engage other non-HMIS partners creating a unique ID and compare to HMIS. Working with EmployIndy to compare to WorkOne.
 - Use personal identification—and then use unique id to match to HMIS
 - Talked about good pantries, Good News, hospitals possibly, trustees office, food pantries
 - Is that enough information? How many do we need (Wheeler and WorkOne-Marion)
 - Start to do more engagements in January—possibly APC (High Impact Study---possibly use as a guide). When they show up at hospital, apc and self-identify homeless, give them a consent
 - Potential with IHCDCA
 - Gaps when people come later in the day (shelters fill up early in the day—huge gap
 - Sometimes there are two distinct populations---(those who seem to be self sufficient)—and those who are chronically homeless
 - Need approaches for both populations—not the same strategies work
 - Not enough \$\$ flex money for stop gap measures (utility example)
 - Transitional housing units available for those who are not ready for PSH
 - Students (homeless)—may indicate gap for younger population (little services)- What is long term solution (underlying problem)
 - Prioritize partners for datashare:
 - APC (Christy—Travis)
 - Hospitals (Dr.K-Christy, Michael—Melissa and SORRT)
 - Trustees Office (Mary)—MB to send letter explaining process
 - IHCDCA (Ken)
 - This will be our initial group to focus in on—at end of year 1
 - Discussion:
 - Respite care
 -

- Update on timeline of gaps analysis
- Housing committee using VI to identify those most vulnerable for dying on streets (medically vulnerable). Look at expanding medical vulnerability. This is focused effort around permanent housing and intake/referral. Expand that effort around coordinated access. Ensure that there is a point of access for intake and referral. Can either be centralized or de-centralized. Addresses the “no wrong door” need for intake.
- Information needed by committee moving forward
- Next steps
 - Chair election
 - Next meeting