

PATA Committee Meeting Minutes
2/16/17

Attendance: Chris Holtz, Lia Hicks, Karin Thornburg, Leslie Kelly, Bob Weiler, Pastor Greene, Alan Witchey, Jennifer Dyer, Zach Gross
Facilitator: Kirk Taylor
Minutes: Kay Wiles

Next NOFA Timeline

- We have been told the next NOFA will drop in May and be due in August
- That is 6 PATA meetings until the NOFA drops

Policy Reviews:

- Appeals Policy
 - Motion to approve by Pastor Greene, Kay seconded the motion and all approved

Performance Scoring Policy:

- Sidebar re: scoring tool and timeframes:
 - PATA must finalize scoring tool by 3/2
 - all agencies will have data accurate by 3/10
 - PATA will review by 3/16
 - Need to make 2 changes to scoring tool priority populations and we need to make a plan for Return to Homelessness timeframe and impact
- Karin moved to approve the Performance Scoring Policy, Pastor Greene seconded the motion and all approved

Ranking Category Group:

- Met 2/14/17 to discuss changes going forward to next year
 - Create consumer survey
 - Look at returns to homelessness as a score – need to determine if less than 6 mos; less than 6 to 12 mos; in more than 12 mos – considering 13 points for this.
 - Need to run data currently and see if it is a valid measure
 - Need to determine meaningfulness of data
 - Recommend look at 24 mos because 6 months should be closely correlated with housing stability
- Would like to look at Return to Homelessness for this year to replace housing first points – committee will try to look at these numbers in the next 30 days to determine points above
- HUD will be scoring us on this as a community so we need to it on a projects level so we know who and why – there is a concern that Wheelers #'s will drive this number down for overall community.

Ranking Policy:

- The latitude we gave PATA in ranking allowed us to gain the Midtown project last year.
- Concern regarding New Projects: we don't feel we can say all new projects will be protected and will be in Tier I because this could land good, high performing projects in Tier II – our intent is to protect new projects unless there is a failure to execute then may not be protected. Also need to consider impact on clients if lose PSH where not as big of impact on clients in RRH, in other

words community doesn't have to cause clients to lose housing in RRH but that is the impact with PSH.

- Ranking Policy was moved for approval as amended by Zach, seconded by Karin, all approved

Re-Allocation Policy:

- Moved for approval as amended by Pastor Greene, Lia seconded, all approved
- Following this approval – discussion on this policy was reopened due to concern of language around performance improvement and involuntary reallocation match
- Changes recommended to final paragraph – all approved

Minimum Performance Standards:

- Pastor Greene moved to approve as amended, Zach seconded, all approved.

All policies approved as amended. Policies will be sent out in final approved formats for 1 last look with all corrections. Final approval via e is recommended.